IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.K.DENESAN

THURSDAY, THE 18TH JANUARY 2007 / 28TH PAUSHA 1928

WP(C).No. 29048 of 2006(G)

PETITIONER:

P. RAJEEV, SENIOR INSTRUCTOR,
GOVERNMENT I.T.I., MALA.

BY ADV. SMT.I.SHEELA DEVI

RESPONDENTS:

1. **STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, DEPARTMENT OF LABOUR AND REHABILITATION, GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**

2. **DIRECTOR OF TRAINING DIRECTORATE OF INDUSTRIAL TRAINING, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**

3. **SEVILEENA P.., JUNIOR INSTRUCTOR, I.T.I., KANNUR.**

BY GOVT.PLEADER SHRI.BIJOY CHANDRAN, FOR R1 & 2.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 18/01/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.K.DENESAN, J.

==========

WP(C).No.29048 OF 2006-E.

==========

Dated this the 18th day of January, 2007.

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is a Senior Instructor working under the Directorate of Industrial Training. While working as such he was transferred to ITI, Kannur as per Ext.P3 order dated 1-11-2006. The 3rd respondent was posted as a substitute in the place of the petitioner. Feeling aggrieved, this writ petition has been filed.

2. When the matter has come up for further consideration today, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since general transfers and postings are to take place with a few months, it would suffice, if the 2nd respondent is directed to consider the case of the petitioner for posting in any one of the places of his choice, particularly, ITI, Kalamassery where a vacancy is likely to arise in the near future and that the petitioner may be permitted to file application before the 2nd respondent to consider his case for such transfer.

3. Heard Government Pleader also.

4. There cannot be any objection for the respondents in granting the above relief to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of directing the 2nd respondent to consider the case of the petitioner, provided he files a proper application for transfer to the place of his choice, at the time of general transfers and postings. It is open to the 2nd respondent to pass appropriate orders taking into consideration relevant aspects, claims of other employees of the department as also the exigencies of service. The petitioner should produce a copy of the judgment along with a copy of the writ petition before the 2nd respondent for necessary action.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

K.K.DENESAN, JUDGE.

kvs/-